


SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Vidya Nagar, Palissery, Karukutty, Kerala 683576

CRITERION 1

CURRICULAR ASPECTS

1.4: Feedback System



SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Vidya Nagar, Palissery, Karukutty, Kerala 683576

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Faculty feedback on Curriculum

Name of faculty: *Dr. Gibin George*
 Designation: *Associate Prof* Year of regulation of curriculum reviewed: *2019*
 Department: *ME* List of courses handled in the above curriculum:
MET 205, MET 204, MET 307
MET 468, HUT 200

Please provide your feedback on curriculum to improve the quality of the programme. Rank the following parameters on a five-point scale as given.

1-Strongly Disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Sl.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	Curriculum of the program is well designed and promotes learning experience of students		✓			
2	Course outcomes of the courses are well explained and clear to faculty and students				✓	
3	Courses reviewed are relevant to the current industry needs			✓		
4	The syllabus of the course reviewed has good balance between theory and application			✓		
5	Curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field				✓	
6	Teaching the courses has increased my knowledge and expertise in the field				✓	

Gaps identified in the syllabus/curriculum (if any)

None

Remarks on curriculum/syllabus (if any)

None

Signature

Center
22-03-24

SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Faculty feedback on Curriculum

Name of faculty: Dr. Raghav A R

Designation: Associate Professor

Year of regulation of curriculum reviewed: 2019

Department: Mechanical Engineering

List of courses handled in the above curriculum:

- (i) Professional Ethics
- (ii) Micro and Nano Manufacturing

Please provide your feedback on curriculum to improve the quality of the programme. Rank the following parameters on a five-point scale as given.

1-Strongly Disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Sl.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	Curriculum of the program is well designed and promotes learning experience of students			✓		
2	Course outcomes of the courses are well explained and clear to faculty and students				✓	
3	Courses reviewed are relevant to the current industry needs				✓	
4	The syllabus of the course reviewed has good balance between theory and application			✓		
5	Curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field				✓	
6	Teaching the courses has increased my knowledge and expertise in the field				✓	

Gaps identified in the syllabus/curriculum (if any)

Remarks on curriculum/syllabus (if any)

Signature



SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Faculty feedback on Curriculum

Name of faculty:

FRANCIS THOMAS

Designation:

Year of regulation of curriculum reviewed:

Department:

List of courses handled in the above curriculum:

MET 401, MCN 401

Please provide your feedback on curriculum to improve the quality of the programme. Rank the following parameters on a five-point scale as given.

1-Strongly Disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Sl.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	Curriculum of the program is well designed and promotes learning experience of students				✓	
2	Course outcomes of the courses are well explained and clear to faculty and students				✓	
3	Courses reviewed are relevant to the current industry needs				✓	
4	The syllabus of the course reviewed has good balance between theory and application			✓		
5	Curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field			✓		
6	Teaching the courses has increased my knowledge and expertise in the field			✓		


Gaps identified in the syllabus/curriculum (if any)

N.I

Remarks on curriculum/syllabus (if any)

N.I

Signature


Francis Thomas

SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Faculty feedback on Curriculum

Name of faculty:

SJITH.R

Designation:

Year of regulation of curriculum reviewed:

Department:

List of courses handled in the above curriculum:

MET206, EST120.

Please provide your feedback on curriculum to improve the quality of the programme. Rank the following parameters on a five-point scale as given.


1-Strongly Disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Sl.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	Curriculum of the program is well designed and promotes learning experience of students				✓	
2	Course outcomes of the courses are well explained and clear to faculty and students				✓	
3	Courses reviewed are relevant to the current industry needs				✓	
4	The syllabus of the course reviewed has good balance between theory and application				✓	
5	Curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field				✓	
6	Teaching the courses has increased my knowledge and expertise in the field				✓	

Gaps identified in the syllabus/curriculum (if any) Nil.

Remarks on curriculum/syllabus (if any) Nil

Signature


SJITH.R.

SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Faculty feedback on Curriculum

Name of faculty: *Dr. Anjma Viswanath*

Designation: *Associate Professor* Year of regulation of curriculum reviewed: *2019*

Department: *ME*

List of courses handled in the above curriculum:

- (1) *Industrial & Systems Engineering*
- (2) *Management for Engineers*
- (3) *Professional Ethics*

Please provide your feedback on curriculum to improve the quality of the programme. Rank the following parameters on a five-point scale as given.

1-Strongly Disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Sl.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	Curriculum of the program is well designed and promotes learning experience of students			✓		
2	Course outcomes of the courses are well explained and clear to faculty and students				✓	
3	Courses reviewed are relevant to the current industry needs				✓	
4	The syllabus of the course reviewed has good balance between theory and application			✓		
5	Curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field				✓	
6	Teaching the courses has increased my knowledge and expertise in the field				✓	

Gaps identified in the syllabus/curriculum (if any) *Nil*

Remarks on curriculum/syllabus (if any) *Nil*

Signature



SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Faculty feedback on Curriculum

Name of faculty: *Deeraj A.P*

Designation: *Asst. Professor*

Year of regulation of curriculum reviewed: *2019*

Department: *CE*

List of courses handled in the above curriculum:
DHS

Please provide your feedback on curriculum to improve the quality of the programme. Rank the following parameters on a five-point scale as given.

1-Strongly Disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Sl.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	Curriculum of the program is well designed and promotes learning experience of students	✓				
2	Course outcomes of the courses are well explained and clear to faculty and students		✓			
3	Courses reviewed are relevant to the current industry needs	✓				
4	The syllabus of the course reviewed has good balance between theory and application	✓				
5	Curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field	✓				
6	Teaching the courses has increased my knowledge and expertise in the field	✓				

Gaps identified in the syllabus/curriculum (if any) *Nil*

Remarks on curriculum/syllabus (if any)



Signature

SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Faculty feedback on Curriculum

Name of faculty: *Jerry Anto*

Designation: *Assistant Professor* Year of regulation of curriculum reviewed: *2019*

Department: *CE*

List of courses handled in the above curriculum:

Please provide your feedback on curriculum to improve the quality of the programme. Rank the following parameters on a five-point scale as given.

1-Strongly Disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Sl.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	Curriculum of the program is well designed and promotes learning experience of students	/				
2	Course outcomes of the courses are well explained and clear to faculty and students		/			
3	Courses reviewed are relevant to the current industry needs	/				
4	The syllabus of the course reviewed has good balance between theory and application	/				
5	Curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field		/			
6	Teaching the courses has increased my knowledge and expertise in the field		/			

Gaps identified in the syllabus/curriculum (if any)

Nil

Remarks on curriculum/syllabus (if any)

Signature

Jerry

SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Faculty feedback on Curriculum

Name of faculty: DEEPU R

Designation: ASSISTANT PROFESSOR Year of regulation of curriculum reviewed: 2019

Department: CIVIL

List of courses handled in the above curriculum:

CET 206 TRANSPORTATION ENGINEERING

EST100 ENGINEERING MECHANICS

Please provide your feedback on curriculum to improve the quality of the programme. Rank the following parameters on a five-point scale as given.

1-Strongly Disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Sl.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	Curriculum of the program is well designed and promotes learning experience of students				✓	
2	Course outcomes of the courses are well explained and clear to faculty and students				✓	
3	Courses reviewed are relevant to the current industry needs				✓	
4	The syllabus of the course reviewed has good balance between theory and application				✓	
5	Curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field				✓	
6	Teaching the courses has increased my knowledge and expertise in the field			✓		

Gaps identified in the syllabus/curriculum (if any)

CET 206 TRANSPORTATION ENGINEERING: Control of train movements, A/c traffic Control

Remarks on curriculum/syllabus (if any)

EST100 Engineering Mechanics syllabus is very vast and couldn't be completed effectively within the stipulated time given by university

Signature Deepu R

Deepu R

SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Faculty feedback on Curriculum

Name of faculty: ROSHNI K.R

Designation: Asst. Prof

Year of regulation of curriculum reviewed: 2023

Department: CED

List of courses handled in the above curriculum: 8

Please provide your feedback on curriculum to improve the quality of the programme. Rank the following parameters on a five-point scale as given.

1-Strongly Disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Sl.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	Curriculum of the program is well designed and promotes learning experience of students			✓		
2	Course outcomes of the courses are well explained and clear to faculty and students				✓	
3	Courses reviewed are relevant to the current industry needs			✓		
4	The syllabus of the course reviewed has good balance between theory and application		✓			
5	Curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field				✓	
6	Teaching the courses has increased my knowledge and expertise in the field				✓	

Gaps identified in the syllabus/curriculum (if any)

CET 304 - Water and wastewater quality parameters

Remarks on curriculum/syllabus (if any)

Signature

SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Faculty feedback on Curriculum

Name of faculty: Dr. Akhila M

Designation: Assoc. Professor Year of regulation of curriculum reviewed: 2019.

Department: Civil Engg. List of courses handled in the above curriculum: EM, BUS, MOS, GE I, GIT, Geo. Inverte, AES, DM, DE

Please provide your feedback on curriculum to improve the quality of the programme. Rank the following parameters on a five-point scale as given.

1-Strongly Disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Sl.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	Curriculum of the program is well designed and promotes learning experience of students				✓	
2	Course outcomes of the courses are well explained and clear to faculty and students				✓	
3	Courses reviewed are relevant to the current industry needs			✓		
4	The syllabus of the course reviewed has good balance between theory and application			✓		
5	Curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field					✓
6	Teaching the courses has increased my knowledge and expertise in the field				✓	

Gaps identified in the syllabus/curriculum (if any)

Remarks on curriculum/syllabus (if any)

Incorporate industry related portions /courses more

Signature



SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Faculty feedback on Curriculum

Name of faculty: *Neethu Susan Idiculay*

Designation: *Assistant Professor* Year of regulation of curriculum reviewed: *2019*

Department: *ECE*

List of courses handled in the above curriculum: *4*

Please provide your feedback on curriculum to improve the quality of the programme. Rank the following parameters on a five-point scale as given.

1-Strongly Disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Sl.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	Curriculum of the program is well designed and promotes learning experience of students				✓	
2	Course outcomes of the courses are well explained and clear to faculty and students				✓	
3	Courses reviewed are relevant to the current industry needs			✓		
4	The syllabus of the course reviewed has good balance between theory and application			✓		
5	Curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field				✓	
6	Teaching the courses has increased my knowledge and expertise in the field				✓	

Gaps identified in the syllabus/curriculum (if any)

Has to improve more practical knowledge.

Remarks on curriculum/syllabus (if any)

Has to improve a lot based on current industry needs.

Signature

Neethu Susan
21/11/24

[Signature]

SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Faculty feedback on Curriculum

Name of faculty: *Sukanya Singh M-7*

Designation: *Asst. prof.*

Year of regulation of curriculum reviewed: *2019.*

Department: *ECE*

List of courses handled in the above curriculum: *ECT401 MAC*

Please provide your feedback on curriculum to improve the quality of the programme. Rank the following parameters on a five-point scale as given.

1-Strongly Disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Sl.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	Curriculum of the program is well designed and promotes learning experience of students				✓	
2	Course outcomes of the courses are well explained and clear to faculty and students				✓	
3	Courses reviewed are relevant to the current industry needs			✓		
4	The syllabus of the course reviewed has good balance between theory and application				✓	
5	Curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field					✓
6	Teaching the courses has increased my knowledge and expertise in the field				✓	

Gaps identified in the syllabus/curriculum (if any)

Remarks on curriculum/syllabus (if any)

Signature

Sukanya Singh

Asst. prof.

SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Faculty feedback on Curriculum

Name of faculty:

Chaithanyadas Nidhin

Designation: Assistant
Professor

Year of regulation of curriculum reviewed: 2019

Department: ECE

List of courses handled in the above curriculum: ECT 205
Network
Theory

Please provide your feedback on curriculum to improve the quality of the programme. Rank the following parameters on a five-point scale as given.

1-Strongly Disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Sl.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	Curriculum of the program is well designed and promotes learning experience of students				✓	
2	Course outcomes of the courses are well explained and clear to faculty and students				✓	
3	Courses reviewed are relevant to the current industry needs			✓		
4	The syllabus of the course reviewed has good balance between theory and application			✓		
5	Curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field					✓
6	Teaching the courses has increased my knowledge and expertise in the field				✓	

Gaps identified in the syllabus/curriculum (if any)

Remarks on curriculum/syllabus (if any)

Chaithanyadas Nidhin

Signature

Deepak

SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Faculty feedback on Curriculum

Name of faculty: CHELSY JOY

Designation: ASSISTANT PROFESSOR Year of regulation of curriculum reviewed: 2019
SSOR

Department: ECE

List of courses handled in the above curriculum: SE, ESD (MINOR)

Please provide your feedback on curriculum to improve the quality of the programme. Rank the following parameters on a five-point scale as given.


1-Strongly Disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Sl.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	Curriculum of the program is well designed and promotes learning experience of students				✓	
2	Course outcomes of the courses are well explained and clear to faculty and students			✓		
3	Courses reviewed are relevant to the current industry needs				✓	
4	The syllabus of the course reviewed has good balance between theory and application				✓	
5	Curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field				✓	
6	Teaching the courses has increased my knowledge and expertise in the field				✓	

Gaps identified in the syllabus/curriculum (if any)

Remarks on curriculum/syllabus (if any)

Signature




SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Faculty feedback on Curriculum

Name of faculty: *Dr. Parvathy .M*

Designation: *Assoc Prof*

Year of regulation of curriculum reviewed: *2019*

Department: *ECE*

List of courses handled in the above curriculum: *SSD, VLSI, AC ISE.*

Please provide your feedback on curriculum to improve the quality of the programme. Rank the following parameters on a five-point scale as given.


1-Strongly Disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Sl.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	Curriculum of the program is well designed and promotes learning experience of students				✓	
2	Course outcomes of the courses are well explained and clear to faculty and students				✓	
3	Courses reviewed are relevant to the current industry needs			✓		
4	The syllabus of the course reviewed has good balance between theory and application			✓		
5	Curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field					✓
6	Teaching the courses has increased my knowledge and expertise in the field				✓	

Gaps identified in the syllabus/curriculum (if any)

Remarks on curriculum/syllabus (if any)

Signature

SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Faculty feedback on Curriculum

Name of Faculty: *Sheli Sara Abraham*

Designation: *Assistant Professor* Year of regulation of curriculum reviewed: *2019*

Department: *AI & DS*

List of courses handled in the above curriculum:

Database Management System

Please provide your feedback on curriculum to improve the quality of the programme. Rank the following parameters on a five-point scale as given.

1-Strongly Disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Sl.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	The program features a well-designed curriculum that significantly promotes students' learning experiences.					✓
2	The clarity of the course outcomes ensures they are comprehensible to both faculty and students.				✓	
3	The relevance of the reviewed courses meets the needs of the present industry.					✓
4	The syllabus for the reviewed course offers an effective blend of theoretical knowledge and practical experience.					✓
5	Relevant books and references in the field are suggested by the curriculum.				✓	
6	Teaching the courses has significantly enhanced my understanding and proficiency in the area.					✓

Gaps identified in the syllabus/curriculum (if any): *Nil*

Signature

Sheli

SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Faculty feedback on Curriculum

Name of Faculty: Dr. Arshay M

Designation: Associate Professor Year of regulation of curriculum reviewed: 2019

Department: AI and DS

List of courses handled in the above curriculum: Data Structures, Logic System and Design


Please provide your feedback on curriculum to improve the quality of the programme. Rank the following parameters on a five-point scale as given.

1-Strongly Disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Sl.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	The program features a well-designed curriculum that significantly promotes students' learning experiences.				✓	
2	The clarity of the course outcomes ensures they are comprehensible to both faculty and students.					✓
3	The relevance of the reviewed courses meets the needs of the present industry.				✓	
4	The syllabus for the reviewed course offers an effective blend of theoretical knowledge and practical experience.					✓
5	Relevant books and references in the field are suggested by the curriculum.				✓	
6	Teaching the courses has significantly enhanced my understanding and proficiency in the area.					✓

Gaps identified in the syllabus/curriculum (if any) : Nil

Signature



SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Faculty feedback on Curriculum

Name of Faculty: *Jiena Jason T*

Designation: *Asst. professor*

Year of regulation of curriculum reviewed: *2019*

Department: *AI & DS*

List of courses handled in the above curriculum:

Concepts Machine Learning, Computer Organisation and architecture, Soft Computing

Please provide your feedback on curriculum to improve the quality of the programme. Rank the following parameters on a five-point scale as given.

1-Strongly Disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Sl.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	The program features a well-designed curriculum that significantly promotes students' learning experiences.					✓
2	The clarity of the course outcomes ensures they are comprehensible to both faculty and students.				✓	
3	The relevance of the reviewed courses meets the needs of the present industry.					✓
4	The syllabus for the reviewed course offers an effective blend of theoretical knowledge and practical experience.				✓	
5	Relevant books and references in the field are suggested by the curriculum.					✓
6	Teaching the courses has significantly enhanced my understanding and proficiency in the area.					✓

Gaps identified in the syllabus/curriculum (if any): *NIL*

Signature



SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Faculty feedback on Curriculum

Name of Faculty: AISWARYA S MENON

Designation: ASST. PROFESSOR. Year of regulation of curriculum reviewed:

Department: AI & DS

List of courses handled in the above curriculum:

DATABASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM.


Please provide your feedback on curriculum to improve the quality of the programme. Rank the following parameters on a five-point scale as given.

1-Strongly Disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Sl.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	The program features a well-designed curriculum that significantly promotes students' learning experiences.					✓
2	The clarity of the course outcomes ensures they are comprehensible to both faculty and students.					✓
3	The relevance of the reviewed courses meets the needs of the present industry.				✓	
4	The syllabus for the reviewed course offers an effective blend of theoretical knowledge and practical experience.					✓
5	Relevant books and references in the field are suggested by the curriculum.					✓
6	Teaching the courses has significantly enhanced my understanding and proficiency in the area.				✓	

Gaps identified in the syllabus/curriculum (if any) : NIL

Signature


25/11/24

SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Faculty feedback on Curriculum

Name of Faculty: **BINU JOHN**

Designation: **ASSISTANT PROFESSOR** OR Year of regulation of curriculum reviewed: **2019**

Department: **ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND DATA SCIENCE** List of courses handled in the above curriculum: **DATABASE, MANAGEMENT SYSTEM**


Please provide your feedback on curriculum to improve the quality of the programme. Rank the following parameters on a five-point scale as given.

1-Strongly Disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

SLNo	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	The program features a well-designed curriculum that significantly promotes students' learning experiences.				✓	
2	The clarity of the course outcomes ensures they are comprehensible to both faculty and students.					✓
3	The relevance of the reviewed courses meets the needs of the present industry.				✓	
4	The syllabus for the reviewed course offers an effective blend of theoretical knowledge and practical experience.					✓
5	Relevant books and references in the field are suggested by the curriculum.				✓	
6	Teaching the courses has significantly enhanced my understanding and proficiency in the area.					✓

Gaps identified in the syllabus/curriculum (if any): **NIL**

Signature



SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Faculty feedback on Curriculum

Name of faculty:

Dr. Manoj Kumar B

Designation:

Associate Professor

Year of regulation of curriculum reviewed:

2019

Department:

Automobile Engineering

List of courses handled in the above curriculum:

Management for Engineers, Design Engineering
Industrial Safety Engineering

Please provide your feedback on curriculum to improve the quality of the programme. Rank the following parameters on a five-point scale as given.


1-Strongly Disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Sl.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	Curriculum of the program is well designed and promotes learning experience of students					✓
2	Course outcomes of the courses are well explained and clear to faculty and students					✓
3	Courses reviewed are relevant to the current industry needs			✓		.
4	The syllabus of the course reviewed has good balance between theory and application					✓
5	Curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field					✓
6	Teaching the courses has increased my knowledge and expertise in the field					✓

Gaps identified in the syllabus/curriculum (if any)

Remarks on curriculum/syllabus (if any)

Signature



SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Faculty feedback on Curriculum

Name of faculty: **ANOOP. M.S**

Designation: **ASSISTANT PROFESSOR** Year of regulation of curriculum reviewed: **2019**

Department: **AUTOMOBILE**

List of courses handled in the above curriculum: **7+3=10**
Automotive Components Design, Professional Ethics, Certification of India, Manufacturing process, Auto Lab-III, Auto Lab-IV Minor I, II, III, IV

Please provide your feedback on curriculum to improve the quality of the programme. Rank the following parameters on a five-point scale as given.

1-Strongly Disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Sl.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	Curriculum of the program is well designed and promotes learning experience of students				✓	
2	Course outcomes of the courses are well explained and clear to faculty and students				✓	
3	Courses reviewed are relevant to the current industry needs				✓	
4	The syllabus of the course reviewed has good balance between theory and application				✓	
5	Curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field				✓	
6	Teaching the courses has increased my knowledge and expertise in the field				✓	

Gaps identified in the syllabus/curriculum (if any) :- **Nil** -

Remarks on curriculum/syllabus (if any) :- **Nil** -

Signature 

SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Faculty feedback on Curriculum

Name of faculty: *VIPIN RAJ P. G.*

Designation: *Asst. Professor*

Year of regulation of curriculum reviewed: *2019*

Department: *AUTOMOBILE ENGINEERING* List of courses handled in the above curriculum:

*EST 100 - Engineering Mechanics AUT201 - Automotive Chassis
AUT206 - Automobile Transmission AUT402 - Vehicle Dynamics.*

Please provide your feedback on curriculum to improve the quality of the programme. Rank the following parameters on a five-point scale as given.

1-Strongly Disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Sl.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	Curriculum of the program is well designed and promotes learning experience of students					✓
2	Course outcomes of the courses are well explained and clear to faculty and students				✓	
3	Courses reviewed are relevant to the current industry needs				✓	
4	The syllabus of the course reviewed has good balance between theory and application				✓	
5	Curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field					✓
6	Teaching the courses has increased my knowledge and expertise in the field					✓

Gaps identified in the syllabus/curriculum (if any)

Remarks on curriculum/syllabus (if any)

Need to be updated with time and requirements of industry.

Signature

[Handwritten Signature]
VIPIN RAJ P. G.

SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Faculty feedback on Curriculum

Name of faculty:

Jayadevan. P.C, PhD

Designation: Associate Professor Year of regulation of curriculum reviewed: 2019

Department: Automobile Engg List of courses handled in the above curriculum: Mechanics of solids, fluid mechanics, Heat and mass transfer, Disaster management,

Please provide your feedback on curriculum to improve the quality of the programme. Rank the following parameters on a five-point scale as given.

1-Strongly Disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Sl.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	Curriculum of the program is well designed and promotes learning experience of students				✓	
2	Course outcomes of the courses are well explained and clear to faculty and students				✓	
3	Courses reviewed are relevant to the current industry needs				✓	
4	The syllabus of the course reviewed has good balance between theory and application				✓	
5	Curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field					✓
6	Teaching the courses has increased my knowledge and expertise in the field				✓	

Gaps identified in the syllabus/curriculum (if any)

Nil

Remarks on curriculum/syllabus (if any)

Need to incorporate AI and ML Basics

Signature

Jayadevan. P.C

SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Faculty feedback on Curriculum

Name of faculty:

Anil P. Desai

Designation: Assistant Professor Year of regulation of curriculum reviewed: 2019

Department: Automobile Engg. List of courses handled in the above curriculum:

Theory of Machines, Alternate Energy Sources in Automobiles, Special Types of Vehicles, CADA Lab, Thermal Lab

Please provide your feedback on curriculum to improve the quality of the programme. Rank the following parameters on a five-point scale as given.

1-Strongly Disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Sl.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	Curriculum of the program is well designed and promotes learning experience of students				✓	
2	Course outcomes of the courses are well explained and clear to faculty and students				✓	
3	Courses reviewed are relevant to the current industry needs				✓	
4	The syllabus of the course reviewed has good balance between theory and application				✓	
5	Curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field					✓
6	Teaching the courses has increased my knowledge and expertise in the field					✓

Gaps identified in the syllabus/curriculum (if any)

Nil

Remarks on curriculum/syllabus (if any)

More practical oriented subjects should be included. Detailed syllabus of Electric & Hybrid vehicles should be incorporated.

Signature


Anil P. Desai

SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Faculty feedback on Curriculum

Name of faculty: *Dr. Dhirpa.K*

Designation: *Associate Prof.* Year of regulation of curriculum reviewed:

Department: *CSK*

List of courses handled in the above curriculum: *Data Structure*

Please provide your feedback on curriculum to improve the quality of the programme. Rank the following parameters on a five-point scale as given.

1-Strongly Disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Sl.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	Is the program's curriculum well-structured, and does it facilitate the overall development of students in computer science and engineering?					✓
2	Are the course outcomes clearly defined and aligned with the learning goals for both faculty and students?				✓	
3	Do the courses reviewed incorporate the latest trends and technologies relevant to the IT and software industry?				✓	
4	Does the syllabus of the courses provide a good balance between foundational concepts, practical implementation, and emerging technologies?			✓		
5	Are the recommended textbooks and references up-to-date and do they adequately cover the topics in computer science and engineering?					✓
6	Has teaching the courses enhanced your technical proficiency and teaching methodologies in the field of computer science?				✓	

Gaps identified in the syllabus/curriculum (if any)

Remarks on curriculum/syllabus (if any)

Signature



SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Faculty feedback on Curriculum

Name of faculty: *Sruthy . K. Joseph*

Designation: *Assistant Professor* Year of regulation of curriculum reviewed: *2019 Scheme*

Department: *CSE*

List of courses handled in the above curriculum:
CST 203 , CST 304 , CST 307

Please provide your feedback on curriculum to improve the quality of the programme. Rank the following parameters on a five-point scale as given.

1-Strongly Disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Sl.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	Is the program's curriculum well-structured, and does it facilitate the overall development of students in computer science and engineering?				✓	
2	Are the course outcomes clearly defined and aligned with the learning goals for both faculty and students?				✓	
3	Do the courses reviewed incorporate the latest trends and technologies relevant to the IT and software industry?			✓		
4	Does the syllabus of the courses provide a good balance between foundational concepts, practical implementation, and emerging technologies?				✓	
5	Are the recommended textbooks and references up-to-date and do they adequately cover the topics in computer science and engineering?				✓	
6	Has teaching the courses enhanced your technical proficiency and teaching methodologies in the field of computer science?				✓	

Gaps identified in the syllabus/curriculum (if any)

NIL

Remarks on curriculum/syllabus (if any)

CST 203 Module 5 doesn't have continuity

Signature

Sruthy
26/11/2024

SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Faculty feedback on Curriculum

Name of faculty: *Josna Philomina*

Designation: *Asst professor*

Year of regulation of curriculum reviewed: *2017*

Department: *CSE*

List of courses handled in the above curriculum:

*CP
COA
DS
MSS
CD
Python*

Please provide your feedback on curriculum to improve the quality of the programme. Rank the following parameters on a five-point scale as given.

1-Strongly Disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Sl.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	Is the program's curriculum well-structured, and does it facilitate the overall development of students in computer science and engineering?				✓	
2	Are the course outcomes clearly defined and aligned with the learning goals for both faculty and students?				✓	
3	Do the courses reviewed incorporate the latest trends and technologies relevant to the IT and software industry?				✓	
4	Does the syllabus of the courses provide a good balance between foundational concepts, practical implementation, and emerging technologies?				✓	
5	Are the recommended textbooks and references up-to-date and do they adequately cover the topics in computer science and engineering?				✓	
6	Has teaching the courses enhanced your technical proficiency and teaching methodologies in the field of computer science?				✓	

Gaps identified in the syllabus/curriculum (if any)

Theory course and practical should be in the same Semester.

Remarks on curriculum/syllabus (if any)

Signature

Josna

SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Faculty feedback on Curriculum

Name of faculty:

Rosebell Paul

Designation:

Year of regulation of curriculum reviewed: *2019*

Department:

List of courses handled in the above curriculum:

CST204 Operating System, CS1305 System Software

Please provide your feedback on curriculum to improve the quality of the programme. Rank the following parameters on a five-point scale as given.

1-Strongly Disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Sl.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	Is the program's curriculum well-structured, and does it facilitate the overall development of students in computer science and engineering?				✓	
2	Are the course outcomes clearly defined and aligned with the learning goals for both faculty and students?					✓
3	Do the courses reviewed incorporate the latest trends and technologies relevant to the IT and software industry?				✓	
4	Does the syllabus of the courses provide a good balance between foundational concepts, practical implementation, and emerging technologies?				✓	
5	Are the recommended textbooks and references up-to-date and do they adequately cover the topics in computer science and engineering?				✓	
6	Has teaching the courses enhanced your technical proficiency and teaching methodologies in the field of computer science?					✓

Gaps identified in the syllabus/curriculum (if any)

Remarks on curriculum/syllabus (if any)

Signature



SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Faculty feedback on Curriculum

Name of faculty: Vegetha.R.K

Designation: Assistant

Year of regulation of curriculum reviewed: 2019

Department: CSE

Professor

List of courses handled in the above curriculum: CST401
Artificial Intelligence

Please provide your feedback on curriculum to improve the quality of the programme. Rank the following parameters on a five-point scale as given.

1-Strongly Disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Sl.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	Is the program's curriculum well-structured, and does it facilitate the overall development of students in computer science and engineering?			✓		
2	Are the course outcomes clearly defined and aligned with the learning goals for both faculty and students?				✓	
3	Do the courses reviewed incorporate the latest trends and technologies relevant to the IT and software industry?				✓	
4	Does the syllabus of the courses provide a good balance between foundational concepts, practical implementation, and emerging technologies?			✓		
5	Are the recommended textbooks and references up-to-date and do they adequately cover the topics in computer science and engineering?				✓	
6	Has teaching the courses enhanced your technical proficiency and teaching methodologies in the field of computer science?				✓	

Gaps identified in the syllabus/curriculum (if any)

Remarks on curriculum/syllabus (if any)

Signature

yskms

SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Faculty feedback on Curriculum

Name of faculty:

Jayalakshmi S

Designation:

Assistant Professor

Year of regulation of curriculum reviewed: 2019

Department:

EEE.

List of courses handled in the above curriculum: EET 301

Please provide your feedback on curriculum to improve the quality of the programme. Rank the following parameters on a five-point scale as given.

1-Strongly Disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Sl.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	Curriculum of the program is well designed and promotes learning experience of students					✓
2	Course outcomes of the courses are well explained and clear to faculty and students				✓	
3	Courses reviewed are relevant to the current industry needs			✓		
4	The syllabus of the course reviewed has good balance between theory and application				✓	
5	Curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field				✓	
6	Teaching the courses has increased my knowledge and expertise in the field				✓	


Gaps identified in the syllabus/curriculum (if any)

Nil

Remarks on curriculum/syllabus (if any)

Nil

Signature


2/5/24

SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Faculty feedback on Curriculum

Name of faculty: *Dr. Divya Nath K*
 Designation: *Associate Prof.* Year of regulation of curriculum reviewed: *2019*
 Department: *EEE* List of courses handled in the above curriculum: *EET468*

Please provide your feedback on curriculum to improve the quality of the programme. Rank the following parameters on a five-point scale as given.

1-Strongly Disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Sl.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	Curriculum of the program is well designed and promotes learning experience of students					✓
2	Course outcomes of the courses are well explained and clear to faculty and students				✓	
3	Courses reviewed are relevant to the current industry needs			✓		
4	The syllabus of the course reviewed has good balance between theory and application				✓	
5	Curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field				✓	
6	Teaching the courses has increased my knowledge and expertise in the field				✓	

Gaps identified in the syllabus/curriculum (if any)

Remarks on curriculum/syllabus (if any) *In syllabus PLC programming is there. They can update the present requirement of the industry. (SCADA)*

Signature *D* *3/07/24*
(Dr. Divya Nath K)

SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Faculty feedback on Curriculum

Name of faculty: *Beena Puthillath*

Designation: _____ Year of regulation of curriculum reviewed: _____

Department: _____ List of courses handled in the above curriculum:

- 1. Basic Electrical Engg EST 130
- 2. Industrial Safety Engg MCN 401
- 3. Electrical System Design EET 402

Please provide your feedback on curriculum to improve the quality of the programme. Rank the following parameters on a five-point scale as given.

1-Strongly Disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Sl.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	Curriculum of the program is well designed and promotes learning experience of students				✓	
2	Course outcomes of the courses are well explained and clear to faculty and students					✓
3	Courses reviewed are relevant to the current industry needs				✓	
4	The syllabus of the course reviewed has good balance between theory and application				✓	
5	Curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field					✓
6	Teaching the courses has increased my knowledge and expertise in the field				✓	

Gaps identified in the syllabus/curriculum (if any)

Gap identified in MCN 401 Industrial Safety Engg. Students was not aware of machine and its operation.

Remarks on curriculum/syllabus (if any) *Nil*

Signature

B
3/5/23

SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Faculty feedback on Curriculum

Name of faculty: VARUN JOSE

Designation: Asst. prof.

Year of regulation of curriculum reviewed: 2019

Department: EEE

List of courses handled in the above curriculum: EET 204 Digital Electronics
EGT 426 Special Electric Machines.

Please provide your feedback on curriculum to improve the quality of the programme. Rank the following parameters on a five-point scale as given.

1-Strongly Disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Sl.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	Curriculum of the program is well designed and promotes learning experience of students				✓	
2	Course outcomes of the courses are well explained and clear to faculty and students				✓	
3	Courses reviewed are relevant to the current industry needs				✓	
4	The syllabus of the course reviewed has good balance between theory and application				✓	
5	Curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field				✓	
6	Teaching the courses has increased my knowledge and expertise in the field				✓	

Gaps identified in the syllabus/curriculum (if any)

Nil

Remarks on curriculum/syllabus (if any)

Nil

Signature

[Handwritten Signature]
03/05/24

SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Faculty feedback on Curriculum

Name of faculty: *Priya Venugopal*
Designation: *Asst. Professor* - Year of regulation of curriculum reviewed: *2019*
Department: *EEE Dept* List of courses handled in the above curriculum:

Please provide your feedback on curriculum to improve the quality of the programme. Rank the following parameters on a five-point scale as given.

1-Strongly Disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Sl.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	Curriculum of the program is well designed and promotes learning experience of students				✓	
2	Course outcomes of the courses are well explained and clear to faculty and students					✓
3	Courses reviewed are relevant to the current industry needs					✓
4	The syllabus of the course reviewed has good balance between theory and application				✓	
5	Curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field					✓
6	Teaching the courses has increased my knowledge and expertise in the field				✓	

Gaps identified in the syllabus/curriculum (if any)

Nil

Remarks on curriculum/syllabus (if any)

Nil.

Signature

Priya Venugopal
3/5/2024

SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Faculty feedback on Curriculum

Name of faculty:

Jayalakshmi S

Designation:

Assistant Professor

Year of regulation of curriculum reviewed: 2019

Department:

EEE.

List of courses handled in the above curriculum: EET 301

Please provide your feedback on curriculum to improve the quality of the programme. Rank the following parameters on a five-point scale as given.

1-Strongly Disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Sl.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	Curriculum of the program is well designed and promotes learning experience of students					✓
2	Course outcomes of the courses are well explained and clear to faculty and students				✓	
3	Courses reviewed are relevant to the current industry needs			✓		
4	The syllabus of the course reviewed has good balance between theory and application				✓	
5	Curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field				✓	
6	Teaching the courses has increased my knowledge and expertise in the field				✓	


Gaps identified in the syllabus/curriculum (if any)

Nil

Remarks on curriculum/syllabus (if any)

Nil

Signature


2/5/24

SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Faculty feedback on Curriculum

Name of faculty: *Dr. Divya Nath K*
 Designation: *Associate Prof.* Year of regulation of curriculum reviewed: *2019*
 Department: *EEE* List of courses handled in the above curriculum: *EET468*

Please provide your feedback on curriculum to improve the quality of the programme. Rank the following parameters on a five-point scale as given.

1-Strongly Disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Sl.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	Curriculum of the program is well designed and promotes learning experience of students					✓
2	Course outcomes of the courses are well explained and clear to faculty and students				✓	
3	Courses reviewed are relevant to the current industry needs			✓		
4	The syllabus of the course reviewed has good balance between theory and application				✓	
5	Curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field				✓	
6	Teaching the courses has increased my knowledge and expertise in the field				✓	

Gaps identified in the syllabus/curriculum (if any)

Remarks on curriculum/syllabus (if any) *In syllabus PLC programming is there. They can update the present requirement of the industry. (SCADA)*

Signature *D* *3/07/24*
(Dr. Divya Nath K)

SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Faculty feedback on Curriculum

Name of faculty: *Beena Puthillath*

Designation: _____ Year of regulation of curriculum reviewed: _____

Department: _____ List of courses handled in the above curriculum:

- 1. Basic Electrical Engg EST 130
- 2. Industrial Safety Engg MCN 401
- 3. Electrical System Design EET 402

Please provide your feedback on curriculum to improve the quality of the programme. Rank the following parameters on a five-point scale as given.

1-Strongly Disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Sl.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	Curriculum of the program is well designed and promotes learning experience of students				✓	
2	Course outcomes of the courses are well explained and clear to faculty and students					✓
3	Courses reviewed are relevant to the current industry needs				✓	
4	The syllabus of the course reviewed has good balance between theory and application				✓	
5	Curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field					✓
6	Teaching the courses has increased my knowledge and expertise in the field				✓	

Gaps identified in the syllabus/curriculum (if any)

Gap identified in MCN 401 Industrial Safety Engg. Students was not aware of machine and its operation.

Remarks on curriculum/syllabus (if any) *Nil*

Signature

B
3/5/23

SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Faculty feedback on Curriculum

Name of faculty: VARUN JOSE

Designation: Asst. prof.

Year of regulation of curriculum reviewed: 2019

Department: EEE

List of courses handled in the above curriculum: EET 204 Digital Electronics
EGT 426 Special Electric Machines.

Please provide your feedback on curriculum to improve the quality of the programme. Rank the following parameters on a five-point scale as given.

1-Strongly Disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Sl.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	Curriculum of the program is well designed and promotes learning experience of students				✓	
2	Course outcomes of the courses are well explained and clear to faculty and students				✓	
3	Courses reviewed are relevant to the current industry needs				✓	
4	The syllabus of the course reviewed has good balance between theory and application				✓	
5	Curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field				✓	
6	Teaching the courses has increased my knowledge and expertise in the field				✓	

Gaps identified in the syllabus/curriculum (if any)

Nil

Remarks on curriculum/syllabus (if any)

Nil

Signature

Varun Jose
03/05/24

SCMS SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

Faculty feedback on Curriculum

Name of faculty: *Priya Venugopal*
Designation: *Asst. Professor* - Year of regulation of curriculum reviewed: *2019*
Department: *EEE Dept* List of courses handled in the above curriculum:

Please provide your feedback on curriculum to improve the quality of the programme. Rank the following parameters on a five-point scale as given.

1-Strongly Disagree, 2- Disagree, 3- Neutral, 4-Agree, 5-Strongly Agree

Sl.No	Parameter	1	2	3	4	5
1	Curriculum of the program is well designed and promotes learning experience of students				✓	
2	Course outcomes of the courses are well explained and clear to faculty and students					✓
3	Courses reviewed are relevant to the current industry needs					✓
4	The syllabus of the course reviewed has good balance between theory and application				✓	
5	Curriculum recommends relevant books and references in the field					✓
6	Teaching the courses has increased my knowledge and expertise in the field				✓	

Gaps identified in the syllabus/curriculum (if any)

Nil

Remarks on curriculum/syllabus (if any)

Nil.

Signature

Priya Venugopal
3/5/2024